- <b>K</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/745,730	KOBAYASHI, YOSHIKAZU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert C. Scheibel	2616	•
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) Robert C. Scheibel.	(3)		
(2) Michael E. Whitham (Reg. No. 32,635).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 May 2007	Time: <u>4:20 PM EDT</u>	•	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
		•	
Claims discussed: 12, 23			
Prior art documents discussed:	·		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.		·	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	ten summary of the su e interview, since the in	ibstance
·			
Refur KShill 5-10-07	<u> </u>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriat	te)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the phrase "the table" in these claims was potentially indefinite as there are two recitations of "a table" to which it could refer. Applicant agreed to amend the claims as indicated in the enclosed Examiner's Amendment to overcome this issue..